# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC A.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION  REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): MAY US 2019
В.	ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2018-03749-RJH Wetlands 4, 5, 9 & 10
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Wisconsin County/parish/borough: Outagamie City: Greenville  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.300526° N, Long88.331988° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16
٠	Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Tributary to Apple Creek  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 04030204  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 11, 2019  Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The area	ere are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review a.
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹  Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹  Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area contains 4 wetlands: Wetland 4 (0.19 acres), Wetland 5 (0.35 acres), Wetland 9 (0.02 acres), and Wetland 10 (0.27 acres) are located in topographic depressions created due to on-site grading, topsoil stripping, and excavation associated with the development and operation of a tree nursery. In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following activities to be waters of the United States; water filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity.
SEC	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
В.	CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\mathrm{N/A}$
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $N/A$
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers  Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Perional Supplements

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

pre	□ ⊠ eamb	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other (explain, if not covered above): Wetlands 4, 5, 9, and 10 are not waters of the United States pursuant to the le to the Corps 1986 regulation (33 CFR Parts 320-330).
	factor judg	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Prova fin	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	SUPI	N IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: McMahon Associates Inc  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
		U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K WI- Kaukauna USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:SoilWeb National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Applicant submitted photos
		or Mother (Name & Date): Google Earth  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
-----------------------------------

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): MAY 0 9 2019

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2018-03749-RJH Wetland 1

$\boldsymbol{c}$	DDATECTI	OC A TION	AND BACKGROUND INFO	DATA TION.
	F BADDINA I I	AM	AIND DAU.RUXRUUND INCL	K VIA I II JIV.

State: Wisconsin

County/parish/borough: Outagamie City: Greenville

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.300526° N. Long. -88.331988° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Tributary to Apple Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 04030204

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

#### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 11, 2019

Field Determination. Date(s):

#### **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
  - Explain: The review area contains 1 wetland: Wetland 1 (0.46 acres) is located in a topographic depression with no hydrologic connection to another water of the U.S. Wetland 1 is not adjacent (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to another water of the U.S. and is not separated from another water of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, or beach dunes. The review area ranges from 300-330 linear feet from the nearest tributary in an active agricultural area (tree farm) precluding any ecological interconnection with another jurisdictional water. There is no link to interstate or foreign commerce and the wetlands are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes. The wetland does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and is not used for industrial purposes. Therefore, the Corps has determined that the subject wetland is isolated and not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

•		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:					
	固	Other (explain, if not covered above):					
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):						
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:					
	$\boxtimes$	Wetlands: 0.46 acres.					
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):					
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:					
		Wetlands: acres.					
SE.C	TIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.					
	SUPI	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked					
	999999	requested, appropriately reference sources below):					
		Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: McMahon Associates Inc  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.					
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:					
		U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.					
	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K WI- Kaukauna					
	$\boxtimes$	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Web					
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:					
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)					
	$\boxtimes$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Applicant submitted photos					
	[VEST	or \( \subseteq \text{ Other (Name & Date): Google Earth} \) Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:					
		Applicable/supporting case law:					
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):					

## B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: